

This is the author's final version of the contribution published as:

A. Deveau, G. Bonito, J. Uehling, M. Paoletti, M. Becker, S. Bindschedler, S. Hacquard, V. Hervé, J. Labbé, O. A. Lastovetsky, S. Mieszkina, L. J. Millet, B. Vajna, P. Junier, P. Bonfante, B. P. Krom, S. Olsson, J. D. van Elsas, L. Y. Wick

Bacterial - Fungal Interactions: ecology, mechanisms and challenges

FEMS MICROBIOLOGY REVIEWS - ISSN:0168-6445

DOI:10.1093/femsre/fuy008

The publisher's version is available at:

<https://academic.oup.com/femsre/advance-article/doi/10.1093/femsre/fuy008/4875924>

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Link to this full text:

<http://hdl.handle.net/331586>

Bacterial - Fungal Interactions: ecology, mechanisms and challenges

A. Deveau^{1*}, G. Bonito², J. Uehling^{3,4}, M. Paoletti⁵, M. Becker⁶, S. Bindschedler⁷, S. Hacquard⁸, V. Hervé^{7,9}, J. Labbé^{10,11}, O. A. Lastovetsky¹², S. Mieszkina¹³, L. J. Millet¹⁴, B. Vajna¹⁵, P. Junier⁷, P. Bonfante¹⁶, B. P. Krom¹⁷, S. Olsson¹⁸, J. D. van Elsas¹⁹, L. Y. Wick²⁰

Affiliations:

¹Aurélien Deveau Institut national de la recherche agronomique (INRA) / Université de Lorraine, Unité Mixte de Recherche 1136 Interactions Arbres/Microorganismes, Laboratoire d'excellence Recherches Avancées sur la Biologie de l'Arbre et les Ecosystèmes Forestiers (ARBRE), Centre INRA-Lorraine, 54280 Champenoux, France.

²Gregory Bonito Department of Plant Soil and Microbial Sciences, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA

^{3,4}Jessie Uehling Duke University Biology Department Box 90338 Durham NC 27705 USA, Plant and Microbial Biology, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley CA 94703, USA

⁵Mathieu Paoletti Institut de Biologie et Génétique Cellulaire, UMR 5095 CNRS et Université de Bordeaux, 1 rue Camille Saint-Saëns, 33077 Bordeaux cedex, France

⁶Matthias Becker IGZ, Leibniz-Institute of Vegetable and Ornamental Crops, Großbeeren, Germany

⁷Saskia Bindschedler Laboratory of Microbiology, Institute of Biology, University of Neuchâtel, CH-2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland

⁸Stéphane Hacquard Department of Plant Microbe Interactions, Max Planck Institute for Plant Breeding Research, 50829 Cologne, Germany

^{7,9}Vincent Hervé 7 Laboratory of Microbiology, Institute of Biology, University of Neuchâtel, CH-2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland 9 New address: Department of Biogeochemistry, Max Planck Institute for Terrestrial Microbiology, 35043 Marburg, Germany.

^{9,10}Jessy Labbé 9Biosciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA, 10The University of Tennessee Department of Biochemistry and Cellular and Molecular Biology, Knoxville, TN 37996, USA.

¹¹Olga A. Lastovetsky Graduate Field of Microbiology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA

¹²Sophie Mieszkina Université de Lorraine / Institut national de la recherche agronomique (INRA), Unité Mixte de Recherche 1136 Interactions Arbres/Microorganismes, Laboratoire d'excellence Recherches Avancées sur la Biologie de l'Arbre et les Ecosystèmes Forestiers (ARBRE), Centre INRA-Lorraine, 54280 Champenoux, France.

¹³Larry Millet Joint Institute for Biological Science, University of Tennessee, and the Biosciences Division of Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA

¹⁴Balázs Vajna Department of Microbiology, Eötvös Loránd University, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C, 1117 Budapest, Hungary

¹⁵Pilar Junier Laboratory of Microbiology, Institute of Biology, University of Neuchâtel, CH-2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland

¹⁶Paola Bonfante Department of Life Science and Systems Biology, University of Torino, Italy

¹⁷Bastiaan P. Krom Department of Preventive Dentistry, Academic Centre for Dentistry Amsterdam (ACTA), University of Amsterdam and Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands email : b.krom@acta.nl

¹⁸Stefan Olsson State Key Laboratory of Ecological Pest Control for Fujian and Taiwan Crops, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University (FAFU), Fuzhou, 350002, China email : stefan@olssonstefan.com

¹⁹Jan Dirk van Elsas Microbial Ecology group, GELIFES, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands.

²⁰ Lukas Y. Wick Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research - UFZ, Department of Environmental Microbiology, Permoserstraße 15, 04318 Leipzig, Germany. email: lukas.wick@ufz.de

* Corresponding author: Mailing address: UMR1136 INRA Université de Lorraine, Interactions Arbres Micro-organismes, 54280 Champenoux, France. Phone: +33 3 83 39 40 88, Fax: +33 3 83 39 40 69.
E-mail: aurelie.deveau@inra.fr

Abstract

Fungi and bacteria are found living together in a wide variety of environments. Their interactions are significant drivers of many ecosystem functions and are important for the health of plants and animals. A large number of fungal and bacterial families are engaged in complex interactions that lead to critical behavioural shifts of the microorganisms ranging from mutualism to pathogenicity. The importance of bacterial-fungal interactions (BFI) in environmental science, medicine and biotechnology has led to the emergence of a dynamic and multidisciplinary research field that combines highly diverse approaches including molecular biology, genomics, geochemistry, chemical and microbial ecology, biophysics and ecological modelling. In this review, we discuss most recent advances that underscore the roles of BFI across relevant habitats and ecosystems. A particular focus is placed on the understanding of BFI within complex microbial communities and in regards of the metaorganism concept. We also discuss recent discoveries that clarify the (molecular) mechanisms involved in bacterial-fungal relationships, and the contribution of new technologies to decipher generic principles of BFI in terms of physical associations and molecular dialogues. Finally, we discuss future directions for researches in order to catalyse a synergy within the BFI research area and to resolve outstanding questions.

Introduction

Bacteria and fungi often share microhabitats where they assemble into dynamic co-evolving communities. Such bacterial-fungal communities have been described to exist in nearly all ecosystems and include microbial species from a wide diversity of fungal and bacterial families (Peleg 2010; Scherlach 2013). Interactions between fungi and bacteria play a key role in the functioning of numerous ecosystems: they are cornerstone members of communities driving biochemical cycles, and contribute to both the health and diseases of plants and animals (Figure 1). Moreover, they have been exploited by humans for centuries to manufacture food products, antibiotics, and secondary metabolites for pharmacology and biotechnological applications (Frey-Klett 2011). As a consequence, by-products of bacterial fungal interactions (BFI) have been harnessed to improve many human activities in agriculture, horticulture, forestry, environmental protection, food processing, biotechnology and medical applications.

BFI intrinsically modulate the behaviour of either or both of the interacting partners. Such modulation cannot be easily predicted based on our knowledge of the biology of the isolated microorganisms grown in pure cultures. Different levels and degree of specificity of BFI have been reported. On one end of the spectrum, co-occurrence patterns of bacteria and fungi result from intimate biophysical and metabolic interactions during which bacterial and fungal partners interdependently develop and co-evolve. On the other end, co-occurrence may not be representative of any causal relationships, being the result of stochastic ‘mixing’ within the microbial community. Depending on the degree of interaction, a molecular dialogue between the partners may be very simple, highly refined, or absent. Depending on the species involved in BFI, interactions can be highly specific, like the intimate interaction between endofungal bacteria and early emerging fungi (Bonfante and Desirò 2017), or they can involve a broad spectrum of species. For instance, the opportunistic human pathogens *Candida albicans* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* frequently interact with each other, but also with numerous additional bacteria and fungi, respectively (Leclair and Hogan 2010). Such multi-partner interactions can occur within a single environment - such as in the oral plaque (Janus 2016), in soil (Warmink 2009), in a single food product (Kastman 2016), or across multiple environments. Opportunistic microorganisms such as the aforementioned *P. aeruginosa* colonize a wide variety of environments including human tissues, plant root systems, and soils, in which they engage in different interactions with local fungal species (Walker 2004). Whatever the environment considered, BFI can produce a diverse range of interactions – from antagonism to mutualism – that influence the biology and ecology of the fungal and bacterial partners at different levels, i.e. with respect to growth, reproduction, transport/movement, nutrition, stress resistance and pathogenicity. The outcomes of these interactions are the combined results of the physical associations (biofilm, free cells, intracellular), the

molecular dialogue between the organisms (direct or indirect), and the environmental conditions and/or the host activity (Figure 1).

Within the past decade, a range of multidisciplinary studies on diverse BFI, that integrate tools from molecular biology, genomics, chemical and microbial ecology, biophysics and ecological modelling, have emerged. The more than 300 studies dealing with BFI, as published within the last five years across divergent fields of research (e.g. medicine, agriculture, environment science, biotechnology, and food processing), have culminated in a better understanding of interaction mechanisms and consequences of BFI. Striking mechanistic generalities have emerged that extend beyond known BFI despite the intricacies inherent to each system analysed, as first outlined by Frey-Klett (2011) and Scherlach (2013). Such generalist patterns mirrors the remarkable similarities shared between plants and animals recently documented in microbiota assisted host nutrition (Hacquard 2015). Here, we review the main findings obtained with respect to BFI in different fields in the past years, including the latest advances with respect to the roles and mechanisms involved, as well as the emerging opportunities and applications to biotechnology and sustainability.

1. BFI within complex networks of interactions: from fungal microbiomes to meta-organisms and holobionts

The exponential development of molecular tools aimed at describing the diversity of microorganisms in many biomes and environments on Earth has brought to light the huge diversity of microorganisms and potential interactions between them (Thompson 2017). As a consequence, the traditional concept of BFI as bipartite bacterial-fungal or bacterial-fungal-host interactions is now shifting towards BFI as complex networks of multiple interacting organisms. In these networks, there may be different levels of complexity depending on the environment and the scale of analysis. The networks can be envisioned at different levels depending on the habitats considered: ranging from networks restricted to microorganisms on abiotic matrices and surfaces such as soils, wood, hydrothermal vents, water pipes and medical catheters (Hervé 2014; Lindsay and Hogan 2014; Ulrich 2014; Douterelo 2016; de Menezes 2017) to networks involving higher organisms, in which BFI occur within the microbiomes of hosts such as lichens (Grube 2015), corals (Moree 2013), nematodes (Wang 2014), insects (Aylward 2014), batrachians (Longo and Zamudio 2017) or mammals (Hacquard 2015; Hoyt 2015). In this regard, the interacting microorganisms together may be conceptually regarded as one metaorganism (Olsson 2017, see box 1).

The fungal microbiome

The hyphosphere (box 1) provides microhabitats that are colonized by specific bacterial communities (Frey-Klett 2011). In a seminal paper, some bacterial associates of soil fungi were called bacterial —fungiphiles|| (Warmink and van Elsas 2009, box 1). The diversity of these communities can range from a few to several hundreds of species, depending on the fungus and the organ considered (Grube 2015; Wolfe and Dutton 2015; Schulz-Bohm 2016; Ghodsali 2017). Filamentous fungi can produce differentiated tissues (e.g. mycelium, fruiting bodies, spores, mycorrhizae) that are colonized by distinguished microbiomes (Zagariadskaya 2013; Deveau 2016; El-Jurdi and Ghannoum 2017). While some bacteria, such as *Burkholderia* spp., can colonize a large set of fungal species given their abilities to utilize fungal-derived metabolites and overcome fungal defence mechanisms (Haq 2014; Stopnisek 2016; Jung 2018), others may have a more specific and intimate relationship with their fungal hosts (Warmink 2009). Similar to plant and animal microbiomes, which are known to contribute to the —extended phenotype|| of their hosts, it is likely that fungal microbiomes also contribute to the biology of their fungal hosts. Indeed, treatments with antibiotics that suppress or alter fungal-associated bacterial communities impaired mycelial growth, secondary metabolite production and/or reproduction (Vahdatzadeh 2015; Schulz-Bohm 2016; Mondo 2017; Uehling 2017a).

Understanding of the fungal microbiome is an important challenge in food processing and production, as microbiomes are often involved in fermentation of alcoholic beverages (e.g. wine and beers), dairy

products (e.g. cheese, sourdough) and other fermented foods (for a review, see Wolfe and Dutton 2015), as well as cultivation of edible mushrooms (Murat 2014; Bánfi 2015).

The endofungal microbiome

Bacteria that live inside fungal cells (i.e. endofungal bacteria, or endobacteria) have first been described in the seminal work by Barbara Mosse (Mosse 1970). They were originally considered as biological curiosities, however numerous emerging studies have demonstrated their omnipresence in fungi, as well as their clear effects on fungal biology (Bonfante and Desirò 2017). To date, endobacteria have been reported in fungi with diverse lifestyles and of broad taxonomic origins, including endophytic Ascomycetes (Hoffman and Arnold 2010; Arendt 2016; Shaffer 2016), symbiotic, pathogenic and endophytic Basidiomycetes (Bertaux 2003; Ruiz-Herrera 2015; Glaeser 2016) as well as saprotrophic fungi in the Mucoromycota (Partida-Martínez 2017; Uehling 2017a). The best-studied fungal endobacteria belong to the family Burkholderiaceae, and are associated with early-diverging lineages of terrestrial fungi within the Mucoromycota (Bonfante and Desirò 2017, Uehling 2017a). These associations appear to be specific, and have presumably tightly coevolved over millions of years (Mondo 2012; Desirò 2015; Uehling 2017a). This has resulted in host dependency and significant genome reductions for the bacterial endosymbionts (Ghignone 2012; Uehling 2017a). Endobacteria can have profound effects on fungal host biology, including aspects of host reproduction (Partida-Martínez 2007; Mondo 2017), growth (Shaffer 2017; Uehling 2017a), energy dynamics (Salvioli 2016; Vannini et al 2016), primary metabolism (Lastovetsky 2016; Salvioli 2016; Vannini 2016; Li 2017; Uehling 2017a), and secondary metabolism (Rohm 2010; Hoffman 2013). Several examples of fungi in the Mucoromycota and their endosymbionts offer lessons in fungal endosymbiotic biology. First, the association between *Paraburkholderia rhizoxinica* (formerly *Burkholderia rhizoxinica*) and *Rhizopus microsporus* is mutualistic, whereby the bacterium provides its host with a toxin, which facilitates fungal pathogenicity on rice. Remarkably, the vertically-transmitted endobacteria impact fungal reproduction, as their removal abolishes asexual sporulation and significantly reduces mating. A recent study leveraged this endobacterial control over fungal mating into identifying reproductive genes in the Mucoromycota, a group of fungi that is notoriously recalcitrant to genetic approaches, as well as reconstructing key reproductive pathways across the fungal kingdom (Mondo 2017). Moreover, studying the pre-symbiotic interaction between *R. microsporus* and *Paraburkholderia* revealed that the fungus undergoes specific lipid metabolic changes in order to accommodate endobacteria, which, when perturbed, shift the interaction from mutualistic into antagonistic (Lastovetsky 2016). Clearly, the *Rhizopus-Paraburkholderia* system is a token of the key role that bacteria can play in modulating the basic biology of their host fungi.

A second example of a well-studied endobacteria-fungal system is the association between members of the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal family (Gigasporaceae, Glomeromycotina) and *Candidatus Glomeribacter gigasporarum* (CaGg, Burkholderiaceae). These bacteria are vertically transmitted between the fungal generations (Bianciotto 2004) and have a strong effect on the pre-symbiotic phase of the fungus. In the pre-symbiotic phase they raise the fungal bioenergetic capacity, increase ATP production, and elicit reactive oxygen detoxification mechanisms (Salvioli 2016). Recent work discovered a new aspect of the endobacterial biology, in that a toxin-antitoxin system was active (Salvioli 2017), as well as the whole operon for vitamin B12 production (Ghignone 2012). This indicates potential metabolic assistance by the endobacterium, not only for the fungal host, but also for the plant mycorrhizal partner. Interestingly, sharing of B-vitamins was also described for the lichen *Lobaria pulmonaria*, where lichen-associated bacteria have been hypothesized to support photosynthesis by provision of vitamin B12 (Grube 2015). A third fungal endosymbiont example is the endobacterium *Mycoavidus cysteinexigens*, an endosymbiont of the saprotrophic fungus *Mortierella elongata* (Mortierellomycotina) (Uehling 2017a). Despite the close phylogenetic affiliation to CaGg, its impact on host fungal growth is strikingly different. CaGg promotes the growth of its fungal host, while *M. cysteinexigens* decreases fungal growth (Uehling 2017a) suggesting that

these later endobacteria utilize host fungal metabolic products. This behaviour likely reflects their ancient divergence from CaGg and co-evolution with the fungal host.

Interestingly, many Glomeromycotina and some Mucoromycota, such as Endogone, can host bacterial endosymbionts belonging to the Mollicutes (Naumann 2010; Desirò 2014; Desirò 2015); some have been identified as novel types named —Candidatus Moeniiplasma glomeromycotarum|| (CaMg) (Naito 2017). The effect of CaMg on the fungal host is still unknown, although molecular evolution analyses indicate that they could be fungal parasites in some cases (Toomer 2015). Remarkably, genome sequencing of selected CaMg revealed evidence of horizontal gene transfer events, in particular of fungal genes to the endosymbiont involved in post-translational modification (Naito 2015; Torres-Cortés 2015). Ongoing studies now aim at determining the function of CaMg; in particular the striking observation of the presence of multiple lineages of endobacteria within a single fungal host calls for further scrutiny (Desirò 2014).

In contrast to the endobacteria of Mucoromycota, endofungal bacteria reported in the Ascomycota and Basidiomycota appear to be more transient in nature, yet they can also influence host phenotype and fitness (Hoffman and Arnold 2010; Spraker 2016). Such transient bacterial-fungal associations may be ecologically important in local habitat-associated adaptation, in which the fungal hosts may serve as environmental reservoirs or refuges for the bacteria (Spraker 2016).

The mechanisms by which endofungal bacteria colonize their hosts have been deciphered for only a few examples. *P. rhizoxinica* actively secretes chitinolytic enzymes by means of the type II secretion system, to penetrate the hyphae of the *R. microsporus* host (Moebius 2014). In contrast, *Ralstonia solanacearum* requires the production of the lipopeptide ralsomycin to invade the chlamydospores of its fungal hosts (Spraker 2016). Once inside the mycelium, some bacteria, much like mitochondria, are able to move through dolipore septa (Bertaux 2005). Some can also be vertically transmitted between generations through fungal spores (Spraker 2016), possibly via type III secretion systems (Lackner 2011). Further studies are necessary to decipher how widespread these mechanisms are among the endofungal bacteria.

Interestingly, in some cases endosymbionts influence fungal host biology and the ability of the fungus to interact with its own host through beneficial (Hoffman 2013; Vannini 2016; Guo 2017) or detrimental (Lackner and Hertweck 2011) associations, giving rise to multi-level inter-kingdom interactions.

Bacterial DNA is often detected in fungal genome sequencing projects, opening the question of whether endobacteria are more common in fungi than previously thought. Such ‘contaminating’ DNA could belong to external bacteria or to endobacteria (either transient or stable). With improvements in genome sequencing technology, it has become possible to assemble entire bacterial genomes from a fungal-bacterial DNA preparation (Uehling 2017). Researchers are urged to keep an open mind to the possibility of endobacterial associates in their fungi before discarding these ‘contaminating’ bacterial reads from their projects. Though shotgun sequencing of such samples may be suggestive of fungal endosymbiont symbioses, the presence and taxonomic identity of endofungal bacteria should still be demonstrated with other evidence, such as provided by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH).

BFI in complex microbial communities, metaorganisms and holobionts

Despite the increasing number of in-depth analyses of microbial communities in multiple systems, studies that consider fungi and bacteria together are still limited in number. Clearly, NGS offers unprecedented opportunities for obtaining a broad view of potential BFI across habitats (reviewed in de Menezes 2017), yet it only permits co-occurrence inferences that may not represent true interactions. Network inference can help to identify those microbes that potentially interact. In a recent study, co-occurrence analyses between bacterial and fungal OTUs across 266 soil samples revealed a significant association between bacteria belonging to the genus *Burkholderia* and a wide range of soil fungi (Stopnisek 2016). This ubiquitous association, together with co-cultivation experiments under laboratory conditions, suggest that specific soil bacteria have evolved strategies to utilize fungal-secreted metabolites and overcome fungal defence mechanisms (Stopnisek 2016). Interactions involving hub microorganisms or keystone species (box

1) can be then further investigated at the molecular level. Agler and coworkers thus identified the yeast *Dioszegia* as a fungal hub of the phyllosphere microbiome of *Arabidopsis thaliana*, as well as its bacterial interactants (Agler 2016). This methodology has already identified BF networks and the drivers that govern community assembly in leaf litter (Purahong 2016), soils (de Menezes 2014; Ma 2016; Stopnisek 2016), floral nectar (Álvarez-Pérez and Herrera 2013), plants (Bell 2014; Agler 2016) and human microbiomes (Mukherjee 2014; Trosvik and de Muinck 2015). All these studies revealed non-random associations between fungi and bacteria and an overrepresentation of positive associations compared to negative ones. Such positive associations are likely to reflect commonalities of habitats between the microorganisms and potential positive interactions. However, they can also be the result of the common colonization of a habitat via the same selective or dispersal agent, as in the case of some microorganisms in flowers that are transported by bees (Álvarez-Pérez and Herrera 2013). Networks can vary from a few dozens of microorganisms (as in the oral microbiome) to over 50.000 (in soil) (Mukherjee 2014; Ma 2016). Linking network-inferred prediction with functional analyses will represent an important step forward to decipher the potential link between BFI and ecosystem functioning (Ma 2016; Purahong 2016). For instance, the co-occurrence of the lignocellulose decomposer fungi *Clitocybe* and *Mycena* spp. with potential N₂-fixing bacterial taxa was correlated with nitrogen (N) deposition in the soil during the decay of leaves, indicating that some bacteria may contribute to the N nutrition of fungi while fungi make C available for bacteria (Purahong 2016).

An ecological balance within the microbiome and between the microbiome and the host (host-microbiota homeostasis) has been hypothesized to be fundamental to maintaining the health of both animal and plant hosts (Krom and Oskam 2014; Hacquard 2017a). Understanding how microbiomes shift between healthy symbiosis and unhealthy dysbiosis, and how BFI are involved in such process, is therefore of rising interest in many research fields. For example, BFI can be a factor that modulates human disease if the ecological balance between the partners shifts. This is illustrated by the recurrent interactions between fungi and bacteria in infections of burn wounds, denture stomatitis, lungs of cystic fibrosis and immuno-compromised patients, as well as in (recurrent) bowel disease, or related to the use of invasive medical devices (Dhamgaye 2016; Förster 2016). Consequently, BFI in such associations may impact the virulence of both partners of the interactome. In plants, the critical role of the microbiota for suppression of plant pathogens has been extensively reported (e.g. Expósito 2017; Santhanam 2015; Ritpitakphong 2016). Similar to what has been described for human disease, several plant infections are often associated with dysbiosis and the loss of diversity in the microbiome (Santhanam 2015; Koskella 2017). The mechanisms leading to bacterial-fungal homeostasis in plant tissues remain unclear, but likely involve a combination of host-dependent and host-independent mechanisms, such as metabolic and nutritional interdependencies among microbes, secretion of antimicrobials and production of protective barriers (Wei 2015; Mousa 2016).

Such complex interactions are starting to be taken into account when designing new strategies to improve the growth and health of crops (Panke-Buisse 2015; Poudel 2016), or treating dysbiosis in animals and plants using microbiome-based strategies (Fraune 2015; Santhanam 2015; Adam 2016). For instance, there is growing awareness that we now need to consider potential synergisms between BF pathogenic communities in order to analyse and treat diseases (Lamichhane and Venturi 2015), with an emphasis on the interactions between microorganisms in the context of pathogenesis (Lopes 2014). In addition, positive BFI effects on human health might allow to use fungi and/or bacteria as probiotics. Microbiome-based analyses are also used to improve food processes such as cheese or wine making (Pinto 2014; Dugat-Bony 2015; Liu 2015), and could be applied to many other systems including energy production and bioremediation.

The emerging importance of Archaea among microbiomes

Besides bacteria, Archaea are now also recognized as important members of Earth's biosphere in terms of their contribution to ecosystem functioning (Moissl-Eichinger 2017). They play key roles in global carbon and nitrogen cycles, for instance in methanogenesis, anaerobic methane oxidation (methanotrophy) and

ammonia oxidation. Interestingly, Archaea are found in niches where BFI occur, such as decaying wood (Rinta-Kanto 2016), the mycorrhizosphere (Bomberg and Timonen 2009), rhizosphere (Thion 2016), soil (Ma 2016), rumen (Kumar 2015) and human gut (Hoffmann 2013). However, to date, only few studies have investigated the bacterial-archaeal (Raymann 2017), archaeal-fungal (Hoffmann 2013; Kumar 2015) and fungal-bacterial-archaeal (Ma 2016) interactions or co-occurrences. Altogether, this suggests that Archaea should be integrated into the metaorganism concept, especially since they are known to be involved in different microbial interactions including syntrophy (Morris 2013).

2. Mechanisms of interactions

A suite of molecular mechanisms may underlie BFI in different systems relying on a combination of physical and chemical interactions, as outlined in Frey-Klett (2011) and illustrated in Suppl. Table 1. Such mechanisms were conceptually divided into four classes, i.e. (1) antibiosis involving metabolite exchange, (2) signalling and chemotaxis involving metabolite sensing and conversion, (3) physicochemical changes following adhesion and (4) protein secretion. Clearly, the above division in four mechanistic types allows for overlap, as it is likely that in all four cases signalling, signal perception and modulation of gene expression in either or both of the partner organisms plays a crucial role. Hence, we present a strong focus on the ways by which BFI depend on signal (or metabolite) exchange. We also focus specifically on recent advances on physical interactions during BFI and the peculiar importance of —microbial logistics|| in BFI.

Signalling and recognition during BFI

Whether and to what extent fungi and bacteria have the ability to perceive and recognize other microorganisms is a question that animates the BFI field since years. Transcriptomic analyses of several BFI have demonstrated that both fungi and bacteria react to the presence of the partner microorganism and respond differentially depending on the interacting partners (e.g. Mela 2011; Sztajer 2014; Gkarmiri 2015; Haq 2017; Tomada 2017). Several cues may be used by the microorganisms for mutual detection, and most are based on small signalling molecules (Scherlach and Hertweck 2017) (Figure 2). The underlying modes of action vary as well as specificity; from highly specific signals which are solely perceived as a direct sign of the presence of the interacting partner, to compounds that interfere with signalling pathways in the interacting partner and induce a specific response. This second class of compounds is the most reported one in the literature so far.

One example is quorum sensing (QS). QS has long been considered a means by which bacteria sense and communicate their population density to coordinate their activities. Recently, QS was shown to be also involved in fungal processes such as morphogenesis, germination, apoptosis, pathogenicity and biofilm development (reviewed in Wongsuk 2016). Furthermore, both bacterial and fungal QS molecules were shown to play significant roles in cross-kingdom signalling (Cugini 2007; Stanley 2014; Sztajer 2014; Dixon and Hall 2015). Indeed, certain bacteria react to fungal QS molecules (e.g. farnesol, tyrosol, phenylethanol, tryptophol; Wongsuk 2016), and, conversely, fungi may react to bacterium-secreted compounds (e.g. quinolone signals, homoserine lactones; Dixon and Hall 2015; Fourie 2016). Such inter-kingdom signalling is likely to be a common mechanism of communication between microbes in mixed fungal-bacterial biofilms in which these molecules are abundantly produced (Trejo-Hernández 2014; Dixon and Hall 2015; Fourie 2016). This intricate dialogue has been particularly well studied in *C. albicans* – *P. aeruginosa* / *S. gordonii* / *S. aureus* interactions (Lindsay and Hogan 2014). But they may be involved in a broader number of habitats since QS may also intervene in bacterial endofungal symbioses (Kai 2012).

Other soluble compounds released by fungi are also sensed by bacteria. Examples are organic acids, sugars, polyols and even toxins. These compounds induce bacterial chemotaxis towards the hyphae of fungi that excrete them. Among these compounds, oxalic acid is of peculiar interest since it induces chemotaxis in the soil bacterium *Collimonas* without being consumed (Rudnick 2015; Haq 2016a). This is in contrast to most other compounds (e.g. glycerol) that are later used as a source of nutrients by fungal-associated bacteria (Boersma 2009; Haq 2016b). Oxalic acid would therefore serve as a sole probe of the presence of fungi in

the present case. The importance of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in BFI signalling has long been overlooked. However, reports involving —long-distance|| signalling during BFI through VOCs originating from bacteria (Briard 2016; Jones 2017), fungi (Schmidt 2015), or synergistically from both partners (Spraker 2014; Vahdatzadeh 2015; Schmidt 2017, Uehling 2017a) have recently accumulated. VOCs encompass a broad range of small compounds that easily diffuse through water- and gas-filled pores or tissues (reviewed in Effmert 2012; Schmidt 2015). In addition to their well-described fungistatic and bacteriostatic activities (Cordero 2014; Cernava 2015), VOCs such as terpenes or dimethyl sulphide stimulate microbial activities during BFI. For instance, the VOCs produced by *P. aeruginosa* stimulate the growth of the opportunistic pathogen *Aspergillus fumigatus*, favouring invasion of lung parenchyma by the fungus (Briard 2016). Conversely, the plant-pathogenic fungus *Fusarium culmorum* produces terpenes that induce motility in the bacterium *Serratia plymuthica* (Schmidt 2017). Interestingly, VOC production is highly influenced by nutrient availability (Hacquard 2017b) and it has been proposed that microorganisms sense changes in their environments via shifts in VOC blends, adapting their behaviour accordingly (Garbeva 2014). Intriguingly, some VOCs, such as the terpene sordorifen, are produced by both fungi and bacteria. This has led to the hypothesis that VOCs may serve as a lingua franca between microorganisms (Schmidt 2017). Elucidating VOC perception mechanisms in both fungi and bacteria may answer the question whether a shared language is used by bacteria and fungi during their interactions. To date, volatile receptors have not been identified in either fungi or bacteria and the effects of VOCs on cell membrane depolarization-based signalling during BFI remain to be measured.

Lastly, fungi may also recognize bacteria during BFI using receptors similar to plant and animal immune receptors that detect microbe-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs). Transcriptomic data have recently revealed that fungi react to similar MAMPs as plants and animals (Ipcho 2016), and a recent survey of fungal genomes has uncovered a repertoire of putative Nod-like immune receptors or NLRs (Dyrka 2014; Uehling 2017b). Some NLRs could directly recognize the presence of these MAMPs in the environment. Noteworthy is the fact that a subset of NLRs has the ability to rapidly generate new binding specificities through recombination of tandem repeat sequences (Dyrka 2014; Uehling 2017b) that could favour fast adaptation to new ligands. A fungal lectin that binds bacterial lipopolysaccharide was also found to be upregulated during the interaction of the fungus *Laccaria bicolor* with different soil bacteria (Deveau 2014; Wohlschlager 2014). Whether these different receptors trigger immunity-like responses or are used to detect more generic BFI still needs to be determined.

Mycelia as networks for bacterial transport

The spatial structure of the habitat has been recognized to be crucial in microbial ecology as it drives the composition and activity of microbiomes (Andersson 2014; Tecon and Or 2017). Clearly, BFI are also shaped by spatial aspects (Harms 2011) and further enhanced knowledge will assist their use in microbial resource management. Similar to logistics of management of human resources and goods, microbial logistics (box 1) are essential for the functioning of microbial systems (Figure 3). In the light of the extent of mycelial networks in soils (up to 102 m g⁻¹, 103 m g⁻¹ and 104 m g⁻¹ length in arable, pasture and forest soils, respectively (Ritz and Young 2004; Joergensen and Wichern 2018), these can be considered to constitute ideal transport paths and scaffolds for bacteria. The fractal mycelial structure enables fungi to effectively exploit the three-dimensional space, easily adapting to environmental disturbances. Fungi also cope well with heterogeneous distribution of nutrients (Boswell 2007). A relevant feature of microbial logistics related to mycelial growth is the translocation of compounds between ‘feeder’ hyphae growing in optimal environments to hyphal expansion/exploration of more unfavourable areas (i.e resource transport, Figure 3). Likewise, fungi recycle and re-allocate their hyphal biomass from substrate-depleted regions to the benefit of exploratory colonization of new habitats (Fricker 2017). Hydrophobic cell wall proteins (hydrophobins) further enable hyphae to cross air interfaces and access heterogeneously distributed nutrients in vadose environments. Important for BFI ecology is the observation that hyphae serve as dispersal vectors for motile bacteria (—fungal highways||, Kohlmeier 2005; see

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AnsYh6511lc> for a time lapse movie). In soil fungal hyphae may thereby preferentially invade the larger pores that are most likely air-filled under typical field conditions (Falconer 2012) and hence allow for bacterial dispersal at vadose conditions. This enables random and directed (e.g. chemotactic) access to new habitats and nutrients (Furuno 2010). For instance, experiments and model simulations showed that mycelia-based bacterial dispersal stimulates contaminant biodegradation in situations where chemicals and/or bacteria are heterogeneously distributed and the active movement of bacteria to pollutant reservoirs is limited by physical barriers (e.g. air-filled pores) (Banitz 2011; Tecon and Or 2016; Worrich 2016). The hyphosphere is also an ideal hotspot for the foraging of bacterial prey populations (Otto 2016; Otto, Harms and Wick 2017) and for horizontal gene transfer, including those for antibiotic resistance, by facilitating dispersal and preferential contact of bacteria in the hyphosphere (Zhang 2014; Berthold 2016; Nazir 2017). Mycelia-facilitated bacterial dispersal may promote new niche colonization (Warmink and van Elsas 2009; Martin 2012; Simon 2017) and participate to bacterial food spoilage (Lee 2014), or the co-invasion of tissues during pathogenesis (Schlecht 2015; Jung 2018). It may be a critical issue in the medical field, as recent studies have revealed the existence of a variety of diverse mycobiomes related to human niches (Kalan 2016; El-Jurdi and Ghannoum 2017).

Is it all about food acquisition? New aspects of nutrient-based BFI

It has long been known that many BFI, whether antagonistic or synergistic, rely on competition or cooperation for the acquisition of nutrients, both organic and inorganic ones (Figure 3). Competition for nutrients has led to the development of a large chemical arsenal in both fungi and bacteria over the millions of years of interaction. Antimicrobial peptides (e.g. cospin - Essig 2014), biosurfactants (e.g. surfactin, nannamycin - Raaijmakers 2010; Hennessy 2017a), phenol and quinone derivatives (e.g. penicillin, atromentin - Kong 2010; Reen 2016; Tauber 2016), pyrrol nitrin (Costa 2009), phenazines (e.g. pyocyanin - Morales 2010), QS inhibitors (Scopel 2013; de Carvalho 2016) to name a few, are all microbial compounds naturally involved in BFI (Table 1). These compounds act through a wide variety of mechanisms that include cell membrane disruption, inhibition of cell wall biosynthesis and primary metabolism, formation of reactive oxygen species against a fungus, starvation or disruption by a fungus of bacterial QS signalling (Table 1). The production of these compounds varies depending on the organisms and on environmental conditions, as exemplified by the interaction between *P. aeruginosa* and *A. fumigatus* or *C. albicans* (Lindsay and Hogan 2014; Ferreira 2015). In response, defensive mechanisms (e.g. active efflux of antibiotics or degrading enzymes) have also been developed by target microorganisms to protect themselves (Künzler 2015). The development of protection mechanisms against toxin can also lead to cooperative behaviours between toxic fungi and bacteria as in the case of the plant pathogens *Burkholderia glumae* and *Fusarium graminearum* (Jung 2018). Chemical warfare in BFI can be exploited to search for new drugs and antibiotics (Reen 2016). Number of novel compounds, e.g. glionitritin A or new members of enacyloxin family, have been uncovered through BFI analyses in the past years (Park 2009; Ross 2014; Tyc 2014; Barkal 2016). High-throughput screening of BFI has been developed to uncover cryptic or new secondary metabolites (Tyc 2014; Navarri 2016). Antibiotics are probably the most commonly sought-after compounds, however other compounds such as QS inhibitors could also prove to be valuable (Scopel 2013; de Carvalho 2016). Given the huge unexplored metabolome space, there is great potential for the discovery of novel therapeutic approaches or methods to limit food spoilage (Debbab 2010; Navarri 2016). For instance, lactic acid bacteria, via the production of organic acids, hydroxyl fatty acids, hydrogen peroxide or reuterin, may protect against food spoilage (Gänzle 2015). Conversely, some compounds may be detrimental, as exemplified by the production of rhizoxin and rhizonin toxins through BFI in soybean fermentations, that can cause hepatic lesions when ingested (Rohm 2010).

Less recognized is maybe the importance of BFI in food webs and nutrient cycling. Numbers of bacteria have the ability to degrade fungal cell walls and bacteria are likely to have an important role in fungal bacterial biomass decomposition (Brabcová 2016; Lladó 2017). Fungal lysis by bacteria also stimulate biogeochemical processes such as carbon flow within the mycorrhizosphere (Ballhausen and de Boer 2016)

or cellulose degradation from plant biomass as exemplified by the activities of the forest soil bacterium *Clostridium phytofermentans* (Tolonen 2015). Plant biomass degradation often involves the action of both bacteria and fungi (Žifčáková 2017). In the case of fungus-growing termites of the order Macrotermitinae, it has been demonstrated that both fungal ectosymbiont (*Termytomycetes*) and termite (workers) gut microbiomes participate in plant biomass decomposition by providing a full set complementary carbohydrate-active enzymes (Poulsen 2014). In addition to decomposition, a large array of rhizosphere bacteria can directly consume fungal exudates, and so fungal hyphae may be an important source of nutrients in this habitat as well as in soil (Rudnick, 2015). Some bacteria can kill and consume living fungi (i.e. mycophagy, Figure 3). *Collimonas fungivorans* is the best-described ‘mycophagous’ bacterium so far, whereas other bacteria such as *Serratia marcescens* can also live off living fungi (Rudnick 2015, Ballhausen 2016, Hover 2016). While *Collimonas* relies on the production of secondary metabolites and chitinases to destabilize and degrade fungal cell walls (Mela 2012), the killer activity of *S. marcescens* is independent of chitinase production and relies instead on the ability to form biofilms on the hyphae (Hover 2016). The abilities to produce antifungal compounds are phylogenetically conserved in collimonads, suggesting the existence of co-evolution processes in this nutrient-based BFI (Ballhausen 2016). Fungi may also be able to take advantage of their bacterial partner to improve their nutrition. Pion (2013) demonstrated that the fungus *Morchella crassipes* is able to exploit bacterial biomass through a sophisticated mechanism coined bacterial farming, in which the fungus first feeds the bacterium *Pseudomonas putida* and then harvests this self-created C source.

By contrast mycelia of fungi (*Fusarium oxysporum* and *Lyophyllum* sp. strain Karsten) and oomycetes (*Pythium ultimum*) may enable bacterial activity by nutrient and water transfer from the hyphae to the bacterial cells exposed to oligotrophic habitats (Worrich 2017) or favour microbial activity in dry soils (Guhr 2015)(Figure 3). Mycelia have also been found to mobilize entrapped polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) via vesicle-bound cytoplasmic transport (‘hyphal pipelines’, Furuno 2012) and to render them available to degrader bacteria (Fester 2014, Schamfuß 2013). Altogether, we see an emerging picture of fungi promoting ecosystem functioning in heterogeneous habitats by transporting resources from high nutrient level and water activity areas to nutrient-poor and dry areas.

BFI mediated habitat modification

Bacteria and fungi can indirectly interact by modifying their environment in ways that positively or negatively affect their partners (i.e. niche modulation, Figure 3). For instance, pH has been frequently reported as an important factor involved in tinkering with BFI (Frey-Klett 2011). Fungi sense and actively modulate the pH in their surroundings (Nazir 2010; Bignell 2012; Braunsdorf 2016). For instance, *Lyophyllum* sp. strain Karsten growing through soil was shown to raise the soil pH from levels below pH 5.0 to just above this threshold for survival of the pH sensitive *Variovorax paradoxus* and other fungal-associated bacterial strains (Nazir 2010). Also, *C. albicans* has been shown to influence the pH of the phagolysosome to increase its chances of survival in phagocytic cells of the immune system (Vylkova and Lorenz 2014; Vylkova 2017). In addition, in combination with *Streptococcus mutans*, a cariogenic acid producing oral bacterium, *C. albicans* actively raises the environmental pH (Willems 2016). Increasing the pH from acid towards a more neutral value directly stimulates overall bacterial growth and metabolism, as low pH commonly inhibits the growth of most bacteria.

Recent studies also identified oxygen level as an important BFI modulator, particularly for *C. albicans* – bacteria interactions. Early reports indicated that biofilms of *C. albicans* provide an anoxic environment (Bonhomme 2011). This was later confirmed by co-culturing *C. albicans* with a variety of strict anaerobic bacterial species (Fox 2014). In the oral cavity, rapid respiration by *C. albicans* and several other *Candida* species creates an anaerobic niche by reducing the level of dissolved oxygen (Lambooi 2017). This favours anaerobic bacteria and antagonises aerobic ones, thereby directly influencing the composition of the microbiome (Janus 2016; Janus 2017). Notwithstanding the afore described anaerobism, aerobic respiration is facilitated by the structure of *Candida* biofilms, and inhibition of respiration (e.g. by bacterial

metabolites such as phenazines) inhibits biofilm formation by the fungus (Morales 2013). Conversely, ethanol production by *C. albicans* stimulates phenazine production by *P. aeruginosa* and biofilm formation by the bacteria through a feedback loop, which theoretically increases virulence of both microorganisms (Chen 2014). In light of the diversity of other fungi commonly found in the oral cavity, this oxygen-mediated effect may play an important role in more BFI in this habitat as well as many other human niches. BFI can also occur indirectly, via host behaviour modulations. For instance, bacteria and fungi induce different innate immune defences in the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* (Pukkila-Worley 2011). By this mean, co-infection by bacteria and fungi can alter the outcome of the disease and favour or reduce the development of the pathogens (Arvanitis and Mylonakis 2015). *C. albicans* and *S. aureus* resulted in increased end-organ damage in murine peritonitis and higher mortality compared with single-pathogen infection. This was mediated by higher levels of circulating inflammatory cytokines (Peters and Noverr 2013). Interplays between bacteria, fungi and the innate ‘immune systems’ are also expected in plants (Hacquard 2017a).

Use of –omics to obtain an integrated view of BFIs

The molecular dialogue that occurs during BFI usually relies on intricate and multiple cell responses as highlighted in Supplemental Table 1. —Omics approaches are ideally suited to address such dialogues and -omics tools can be used to analyze BFI from —simple in vitro dual interactions to complex natural multispecies interactions (box 1). The past years have seen a multitude of applications of -omics to BFI (e.g. Mela 2012, Deveau 2014, Phelan 2014, Benoit 2015, Gkarmiri 2015, Lamachia 2016, Li 2017, Haq 2017, Schmidt 2017, Uehling 2017a, Jung 2018). As an overriding theme, responses of partner organisms were commonly found, yet the magnitudes of the responses varied greatly, probably reflecting dependency on the types of interactions, their context, and the technology used. Interestingly, most studies demonstrated regulation of primary metabolisms including nutrient transporters, stress response, cell wall remodelling and secondary metabolite production during BFI. Noteworthy is the fact that genes / proteins with unknown functions, or showing restricted phylogenetic distribution, often represent a significant part of genes regulated in BFI. Emerging studies have been made on tripartite interactions between fungi, bacteria and a host, shedding light on the complex cross-talks occurring (Kurth 2015; Vannini 2016).

Complex microbial communities, being most realistic, should be examined using the combination of such analyses. The following questions emerge as relevant: —Who is there?||, —What are they capable of?||, —Who is actively doing what?|| and —what are the factors that modify the output of the interaction?||. Combining metagenomics and metaproteomics analyses, Grube deciphered the multifaceted roles of the bacteriome of the lichen *Lobaria pulmonaria* (Grube 2015). In this fungus-alga-bacteria symbiosis, more than 800 bacterial species contributed to the nutrient supply of the lichen, helped its resistance against fungal pathogens and abiotic stress and provided essential hormones and vitamins. Similarly, by using a combination of multi-omics approaches and soil biological techniques, Nuccio demonstrated, for the first time, that the AMF *Glomus hoi* in *Plantago lanceolata*, significantly modified 10% of the bacterial community in decomposing litter (Nuccio 2013). Moreover, the AMF was shown to affect the physicochemical environment in the decomposing litter by preferentially exporting N, for which it appeared to acquire N primarily in the inorganic form. This implied that the export of N from litter is one mechanism by which AMF alter the composition of the bacterial community and decomposition processes in soil. In addition to pinpoint functional activities within microbiomes, meta-omics approaches help in determining the active players in natural conditions in microbiomes of cheese, soil or the human gut (Huttenhower 2012; Dugat-Bony 2015; Perazzolli 2016; Ghodsavali 2017). Identifying keystone members of such microbiomes and their response to perturbations is a current challenge of microbial ecology. To allow such studies, synthetic communities may be designed that reproduce patterns of community formation and dynamics of natural systems as well as their functional outputs. So far, good progress has been achieved in fermented food ecosystems (Wolfe and Dutton 2015). In surface-ripened cheese, BFI regarding key functions involved in cheese maturation process such as carbohydrate, lipid and protein metabolisms were

highlighted using synthetic bacterial and fungal communities (Dugat-Bonny 2015). Thus, the consumption of lactate produced by *Lactobacillus lactis*, by the fungi *Debaryomyces hansenii* and *Geotrichum candidum* was evidenced by a high level of lactate dehydrogenase transcripts (Dugat-Bonny 2015). Moreover, the dominance of *Staphylococcus equorum* in cheese was maintained due to the presence of the fungus *Scopulariopsis* sp. via a molecular mechanism based on the iron utilization pathways such as a homolog of the *S. aureus* staphyloferrin B siderophore operon pathway (Kastman 2016).

One of the future challenges of such approach will be to take into account the spatial and temporal scales of BFI in their analysis. Even though fungi and bacteria co-colonize the same habitat, they do not have the same lifestyle in terms of colonization area. This is particularly true for soils, in which bacterial habitats may be reduced to a soil particle of a mm³ or specific zones in a biofilm on a root, while the hyphae of the fungus with which they locally interact forage across centimetres to meters and also interact with other plants, wood debris, microorganisms and microfauna. We here argue that there are fundamental differences in the way that bacteria and fungi respond to biotic and abiotic cues. For example, plant-associated bacterial communities show more resistance and resilience than fungi to environmental perturbations such change in land use or pH modifications while fungi better resist than bacteria to drought (Uroz 2016). Moreover, the interactive populations tend to be spatiotemporally heterogeneous, so each part of the interacting system may be (slightly) different from each other, at different points in time during development. In addition, BFI tend to be dynamic (Young and Crawford 2004; Hennessy 2017b). Current methodologies used to analyse bacterial and fungal microbiomes do not allow one to take into account such complex spatiotemporal organization. However, their use in combination with microscopy, FISH and analytic techniques such as Raman spectroscopy, Imaging Mass Spectrometry or nanoSIMS may help to overcome this limitation (Behrens 2008; Kaltenpoth 2016; Wang 2016).

3. Future perspectives of BFI research

As highlighted in this review, important progress has been made in the understanding of BFI in model microorganisms, as well as in the description of complex microbial communities involving BFI. Within the last two decades, it has become clear that BFI are crucial to the functions in both natural and anthropogenic ecosystems, including human health. At the ecosystem scale, BFIs present all type of outcomes, from positive to negative. As a result, on the one hand they represent a great potential to be harnessed, for instance in sustainable agriculture. On the other hand, the recognition of BFI with negative properties, for instance in human health, could lead to improved therapeutics. However, there is still an important gap between studies performed in laboratory conditions and the —in vivo|| reality that impedes our ability to extrapolate generic principles of BFI at the (eco)system scale. The rapid technological advances in methodological fields related to the study of microorganisms may help in reaching such goal. The manipulation of host-associated microbiomes using either synthetic microbial communities, dilution of natural communities, CRISPR-cas9, agrobacterial mediated and other transgenesis tools or antibiotic manipulation of microbial communities and/or germ-free hosts combined with modelling will help to identify the driving factors of BFI and of their interactions with their hosts and/or environment. Moreover, although the number of researchers integrating BFI into their studies is expanding, the field needs to become more interdisciplinary. As a result, we expect that both the methodological aspect and the interdisciplinary contribution will bring new development in the BFI research field.

Finally, BFI could also have a broader impact in science if they are used as model systems to analyse complex interactions. Indeed, apart from being an object of study, the BFI holobiont also provides an interesting and relatively simple model for the study of eukaryote-bacterial interactions. One advantage is the fact that many fungi are haploid, easy to transform (Michielse 2005), and may be grown both in the absence or presence of bacterial partners. In this way, the BFI holobiont can become a model system for the assessment of evolutionary conserved molecular interactions between eukaryotic cells and bacteria. A key characteristic of eukaryotic meta-organisms/holobionts is the modulated recognition of bacterial symbionts by the hosts' innate immune systems, welcoming mutualists and resisting pathogens (Artis 2008;

Zamioudis and Pieterse 2012). It has long been proposed that fungi, like plants and animals, possess an innate immune system (Paoletti and Saupe 2009; Salvioli 2016), have receptor candidates for recognizing bacteria (Dyrka 2014; Uehling 2017b) and indeed do so with fast transcriptomic responses (Ipcho 2016). This opens up an exciting avenue of research into the conservation of innate immune systems across phylogenetically distant eukaryotes. BFI also serve as useful models for the study of evolutionary theory. For example, how do symbiotic bacteria-eukaryote interactions remain stable under different environmental conditions and over time (Olsson 2017). Fungal mycelia have recently been proposed to be a driving factor of the evolution of bacterial diversity by enabling preferential contact of spatially distinct bacteria and acting as focal point for horizontal gene transfer (Zhang 2014; Berthold 2016). Thus, BFI may serve as models to study other eukaryotes-prokaryotes interactions, in an analogous way to how fruit flies or worms are used as models to study processes occurring in human cells (Olsson 2017). Fungal and bacterial model systems have the advantages of being fast to grow, and easy to manipulate and track genetically. Based on these premises, BFI research should expand rapidly, not only to better understand the fundamental processes involved in BFI across research fields, and commercial and industrial settings, but also to take advantage of the fantastic properties of BFI to exploit them as model systems.

Acknowledgments

This cooperative work was initiated following the workshop on Bacterial-Fungal Interactions at the 2016 European Conference on Fungal Genetics (ECFG) and the authors would like to acknowledge the ECFG to have supported for the organization of such networking workshop. We thank Guillaume Cailleau for his critical help in figure designing. We also thank the anonymous reviewers for their comments and suggestions which greatly increased the quality of this manuscript.

Funding

AD and SM are supported by the French National Research Agency through the Laboratory of Excellence ARBRE (ANR-12- LABXARBRE-01) and the INRA Metaprogram MEM. BPK is supported by a grant from the University of Amsterdam for research into the focal point ‘Oral Infections and Inflammation’. GB acknowledges MSU and AgBioResearch for research support. The work of LYW was co-funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) CRC 1076 —AquaDiva|| and the Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research. LM, JL and JU were supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Biological and Environmental Research as part of the Plant-Microbe Interfaces Scientific Focus Area (<http://pmi.ornl.gov>). Oak Ridge National Laboratory is managed by UT-Battelle, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725. SB and PJ supported by several grants from the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) and the Commission for Technology and Innovation (CTI) of the Swiss confederation. VH was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation through Grant FN CR3212-149853/1. VB was supported by the European Union and the State of Hungary, co-financed by the European Social Fund in the framework of TÁMOP 4.2.4. A/1-11-1-2012-0001 ‘National Excellence Program’ (A1-MZPD-12-0166). SO acknowledge FAFU for research support. PB was supported by the University of Torino (60% Project). SH is supported by the Max Planck Society.

References

Adam E, Groenenboom AE, Kurm V et al. Controlling the microbiome: Microhabitat adjustments for successful biocontrol strategies in soil and human gut. *Front Microbiol* 2016;7:1079.

Agler MT, Ruhe J, Kroll S et al. Microbial hub taxa link host and abiotic factors to plant microbiome variation. *PLOS Biol* 2016;14:e1002352.

Álvarez-Pérez S, Herrera CM. Composition, richness and nonrandom assembly of culturable bacterial-microfungal communities in floral nectar of Mediterranean plants. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2013;83:685–99.

Andersson MGI, Berga M, Lindström E et al. The spatial structure of bacterial communities is influenced by historical environmental conditions. *Ecology* 2014;95:1134–40.

Arendt KR, Hockett KL, Araldi-Brondolo SJ et al. Isolation of endohyphal bacteria from foliar Ascomycota and in vitro establishment of their symbiotic associations. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2016, 82:2943–9.

Artis D. Epithelial-cell recognition of commensal bacteria and maintenance of immune homeostasis in the gut. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2008;8:411–20.

Arvanitis M, Mylonakis E. Fungal–bacterial interactions and their relevance in health. *Cell Microbiol* 2015;17:1442–6.

Aschenbrenner IA, Cernava T, Berg G et al. Understanding microbial multi-species symbioses. *Front Microbiol* 2016;7:180.

Aylward FO, Suen G, Biedermann PHW et al. Convergent bacterial microbiotas in the fungal agricultural systems of insects. *MBio* 2014;5:e02077.

Ballhausen MB, de Boer W. The sapro-rhizosphere: Carbon flow from saprotrophic fungi into fungus-feeding bacteria. *Soil Biol Biochem* 2016;102:14–7.

Bánfi R, Pohner Z, Kovács J et al. Characterisation of the large-scale production process of oyster mushroom (*Pleurotus ostreatus*) with the analysis of succession and spatial heterogeneity of lignocellulolytic enzyme activities. *Fungal Biol* 2015;119:1354–63.

Banitz T, Fetzer I, Johst K et al. Assessing biodegradation benefits from dispersal networks. *Ecol Modell* 2011;222:2552–60.

Barkal LJ, Theberge AB, Guo C-J et al. Microbial metabolomics in open microscale platforms. *Nat Commun* 2016;7:e10610.

Behrens S, Lösekann T, Pett-Ridge J et al. Linking microbial phylogeny to metabolic activity at the single-cell level by using enhanced element labeling-catalyzed reporter deposition fluorescence in situ hybridization (EL-FISH) and NanoSIMS. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2008;74:3143–50.

Bell TH, El-Din Hassan S, Lauron-Moreau A et al. Linkage between bacterial and fungal rhizosphere communities in hydrocarbon-contaminated soils is related to plant phylogeny. *ISME J* 2014;8:331–43.

Bertaux J, Schmid M, Hutzler P et al. Occurrence and distribution of endobacteria in the plant-associated mycelium of the ectomycorrhizal fungus *Laccaria bicolor* S238N. *Env Microbiol* 2005;7:1786–95.

Bertaux J, Schmid M, Prevost-Boure NC et al. In situ identification of intracellular bacteria related to *Paenibacillus* spp. in the mycelium of the ectomycorrhizal fungus *Laccaria bicolor* S238N. *Appl Env Microbiol* 2003;69:4243–8.

Berthold T, Centler F, Hübschmann T et al. Mycelia as a focal point for horizontal gene transfer among soil bacteria. *Sci Rep* 2016;6:e36390.

- Bianciotto V, Genre A, Jargeat P et al. Vertical transmission of endobacteria in the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus *Gigaspora margarita* through generation of vegetative spores. *Appl Env Microbiol* 2004;70:3600–8.
- Bignell E. The molecular basis of pH sensing, signaling, and homeostasis in Fungi. In: Sariaslani S, Gadd GM (eds.). *Advances in Applied Microbiology*. Elsevier, 2012, 1–18.
- Boersma FG, Warmink JA, Andreote FA et al. Selection of Sphingomonadaceae at the base of *Laccaria proxima* and *Russula exalbicans* fruiting bodies. *Appl Env Microbiol* 2009;75:1979–89.
- Bomberg M, Timonen S. Effect of tree species and mycorrhizal colonization on the archaeal population of boreal forest rhizospheres. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2009;75:308–15.
- Bonfante P, Desirò A. Who lives in a fungus? The diversity, origins and functions of fungal endobacteria living in the Mucoromycota. *ISME J* 2017;11:1727–35.
- Bonhomme J, Chauvel M, Goyard S et al. Contribution of the glycolytic flux and hypoxia adaptation to efficient biofilm formation by *Candida albicans*. *Mol Microbiol* 2011;80:995–1013.
- Bordenstein SR, Theis KR. Host biology in light of the microbiome: ten principles of holobionts and hologenomes. *PLoS Biol* 2015;13:e1002226.
- Bosch TCG, McFall-Ngai MJ. Metaorganisms as the new frontier. *Zoology* 2011;114:185–90.
- Boswell GP, Jacobs H, Ritz K et al. The development of fungal networks in complex environments. *Bull Math Biol* 2007;69:605–34.
- Brabcová V, Nováková M, Davidová A et al. Dead fungal mycelium in forest soil represents a decomposition hotspot and a habitat for a specific microbial community. *New Phytol* 2016;210:1369–81.
- Braunsdorf C, Mailänder-Sánchez D, Schaller M. Fungal sensing of host environment. *Cell Microbiol* 2016;18:1188–200.
- Briard B, Heddergott C, Latgé J-P. Volatile compounds emitted by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* stimulate growth of the fungal pathogen *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *MBio* 2016;7:e00219.
- de Carvalho MP, Gulotta G, do Amaral MW et al. *Coprinus lactone* protects the edible mushroom *Coprinus comatus* against biofilm infections by blocking both quorum-sensing and MurA. *Environ Microbiol* 2016;18:4254–64.
- Cernava T, Aschenbrenner IA, Grube M et al. A novel assay for the detection of bioactive volatiles evaluated by screening of lichen-associated bacteria. *Front Microbiol* 2015;6:e398.
- Chen AI, Dolben EF, Okegbe C et al. *Candida albicans* ethanol stimulates *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* WspR-controlled biofilm formation as part of a cyclic relationship involving phenazines. *PLoS Pathog* 2014;10:e1004480.
- Cordero P, Príncipe A, Jofré E et al. Inhibition of the phytopathogenic fungus *Fusarium proliferatum* by volatile compounds produced by *Pseudomonas*. *Arch Microbiol* 2014;196:803–9.

Costa R, Van Aarle IM, Mendes R et al. Genomics of pyrrolnitrin biosynthetic loci: Evidence for conservation and whole-operon mobility within Gram-negative bacteria. *Environ Microbiol* 2009;11:159–75.

Cugini C, Calfee MW, Farrow 3rd JM et al. Farnesol, a common sesquiterpene, inhibits PQS production in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Mol Microbiol* 2007;65:896–906.

Debbab A, Aly AH, Lin WH et al. Bioactive compounds from marine bacteria and fungi. *Microb Biotechnol* 2010;3:544–63.

Desirò A, Faccio A, Kaech A et al. Endogone, one of the oldest plant-associated fungi, host unique Mollicutes-related endobacteria. *New Phytol* 2015;205:1464–72.

Desirò A, Salvioli A, Ngonkeu EL et al. Detection of a novel intracellular microbiome hosted in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *ISME J* 2014;8:257–70.

Deveau A, Antony-Babu S, Le Tacon F et al. Temporal changes of bacterial communities in *Tuber melanosporum* ectomycorrhizosphere during ascocarp development. *Mycorrhiza* 2016;26:389-99.

Deveau A, Barret M, Diedhiou AG et al. Pairwise transcriptomic analysis of the interactions between the ectomycorrhizal fungus *Laccaria bicolor* S238N and three beneficial, neutral and antagonistic soil bacteria. *Microb Ecol* 2014;69:145–59.

Dhamgaye S, Qu Y, Peleg AY. Polymicrobial infections involving clinically relevant Gram-negative bacteria and fungi. *Cell Microbiol* 2016;18:1716–22.

Dixon EF, Hall RA. Noisy neighbourhoods: quorum sensing in fungal-polymicrobial infections. *Cell Microbiol* 2015;17:1431–41.

Douterelo I, Jackson M, Solomon C et al. Microbial analysis of in situ biofilm formation in drinking water distribution systems: implications for monitoring and control of drinking water quality. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2016;100:3301–11.

Dugat-Bony E, Straub C, Teissandier A et al. Overview of a surface-ripened cheese community functioning by meta-omics analyses. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0124360.

Dyrka W, Lamacchia M, Durrens P et al. Diversity and variability of NOD-Like receptors in Fungi. *Genome Biol Evol* 2014;6:3137–58.

Effmert U, Kalderás J, Warnke R et al. Volatile mediated interactions between Bacteria and Fungi in the Soil. *J Chem Ecol* 2012;38:665–703.

El-Jurdi N, Ghannoum MA. The mycobiome: impact on health and disease states. *Microbiol Spectr* 2017;5, DOI: 10.1128/microbiolspec.FUNK-0045-2016.

Essig A, Hofmann D, Münch D et al. Copsin, a novel peptide-based fungal antibiotic interfering with the peptidoglycan synthesis. *J Biol Chem* 2014;289:34953–64.

Expósito RG, De Bruijn I, Postma J et al. Current insights into the role of rhizosphere bacteria in disease suppressive soils. *Front Microbiol*, 2017; DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02529.

Falconer RE, Houston AN, Otten W et al. Emergent behavior of soil fungal dynamics: influence of soil architecture and water distribution. *Soil Sci* 2012;17:111–9.

Ferreira JAG, Penner JC, Moss RB et al. Inhibition of *Aspergillus fumigatus* and its biofilm by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is dependent on the source, phenotype and growth conditions of the bacterium. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0134692.

Fester T, Giebler J, Wick LY et al. Plant-microbe interactions as drivers of ecosystem functions relevant for the biodegradation of organic contaminants. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 2014;27:168–75.

Förster TM, Mogavero S, Dräger A et al. Enemies and brothers in arms: *Candida albicans* and gram-positive bacteria. *Cell Microbiol* 2016;18:1709–15.

Fourie R, Ells R, Swart CW et al. *Candida albicans* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* interaction, with focus on the role of eicosanoids. *Front Physiol* 2016;7:64.

Fox EP, Cowley ES, Nobile CJ et al. Anaerobic bacteria grow within *Candida albicans* biofilms and induce biofilm formation in suspension cultures. *Curr Biol* 2014;24:2411–6.

Fraune S, Anton-Erxleben F, Augustin R et al. Bacteria–bacteria interactions within the microbiota of the ancestral metazoan *Hydra* contribute to fungal resistance. *ISME J* 2015;9:1543–56.

Frey-Klett P, Burlinson P, Deveau A et al. Bacterial-Fungal interactions: hyphens between agricultural, clinical, environmental, and food microbiologists. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 2011;75:583–609.

Fricker MD, Heaton LLM, Jones NS et al. The mycelium as a network. *Microbiol Spectr* 2017;5, DOI: doi:10.1128/microbiolspec.FUNK-0033-2017.

Furuno S, Pazolt K, Rabe C et al. Fungal mycelia allow chemotactic dispersal of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria in water-unsaturated systems. *Env Microbiol* 2010;12:1391–8.

Furuno S, Foss S, Wild E et al. Mycelia promote active transport and spatial dispersion of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. *Environ Sci Technol* 2012;46:5463–70.

Gänzle MG. Lactic metabolism revisited: metabolism of lactic acid bacteria in food fermentations and food spoilage. *Curr Opin Food Sci* 2015;2:106–17.

Garbeva P, Hordijk C, Gerards S et al. Volatiles produced by the mycophagous soil bacterium *Collimonas*. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2014;87:639–49.

Ghignone S, Salvioli A, Anca I et al. The genome of the obligate endobacterium of an AM fungus reveals an interphylum network of nutritional interactions. *ISME J* 2012;6:136–45.

Ghodsilavi B, Svenningsen NB, Hao X et al. A novel baiting microcosm approach used to identify the bacterial community associated with *Penicillium bilaii* hyphae in soil. *PLoS One* 2017;12, DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0187116.

Gkarmiri K, Finlay RD, Alström S et al. Transcriptomic changes in the plant pathogenic fungus *Rhizoctonia solani* AG-3 in response to the antagonistic bacteria *Serratia proteamaculans* and *Serratia plymuthica*. *BMC Genomics* 2015;16:630.

Glaeser SP, Imani J, Alabid I et al. Non-pathogenic *Rhizobium radiobacter* F4 deploys plant beneficial activity independent of its host *Piriformospora indica*. *ISME J* 2016;10:871–84.

Grube M, Cernava T, Soh J et al. Exploring functional contexts of symbiotic sustain within lichen-associated bacteria by comparative omics. *ISME J* 2015;9:412–24.

Guhr A, Borken W, Spohn M et al. Redistribution of soil water by a saprotrophic fungus enhances carbon mineralization. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2015;112:14647–51.

Guo H, Glaeser SP, Alabid I et al. The abundance of endofungal bacterium *Rhizobium radiobacter* (syn. *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*) increases in its fungal host *Piriformospora indica* during the tripartite sebacinallean symbiosis with higher plants. *Front Microbiol* 2017;8:1–13.

Hacquard S. Commentary: microbial small talk: volatiles in fungal-bacterial interactions. *Front Microbiol* 2017b;6, DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01495.

Hacquard S, Garrido-Oter R, González A et al. Microbiota and host nutrition across plant and animal kingdoms. *Cell Host Microbe* 2015;17:603–16.

Hacquard S, Spaepen S, Garrido-Oter R et al. Interplay between innate immunity and the plant microbiota. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* 2017a;55:565–89.

Haq IU. Interactions of *Burkholderia terrae* with soil fungi: mechanisms, gene expression patterns and ecological behavior of *Burkholderia terrae* BS001 during its interaction with *Lyophyllum* sp. strain Karsten and *Trichoderma asperellum* 302 in soil. University of Groningen, doctoral thesis. 2016a; 199p.

Haq IU, Calixto RO da R, Yang P et al. Chemotaxis and adherence to fungal surfaces are key components of the behavioral response of *Burkholderia terrae* BS001 to two selected soil fungi. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2016b;92:fiw164.

Haq IU, Dini-Andreote F, van Elsas JD. Transcriptional responses of the bacterium *Burkholderia terrae* BS001 to the fungal host *Lyophyllum* sp. strain Karsten under soil-mimicking conditions. *Microb Ecol* 2017;73:236–52.

Haq IU, Zhang M, Yang P et al. The interactions of bacteria with fungi in soil: emerging concepts. *Advances in Applied Microbiology*. Elsevier, 2014, 185–215.

Harms H, Schlosser D, Wick LY. Untapped potential: exploiting fungi in bioremediation of hazardous chemicals. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2011;9:177–92.

Hennessy RC, Phippen CBW, Nielsen KF et al. Biosynthesis of the antimicrobial cyclic lipopeptides nunamycin and nunapeptin by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain In5 is regulated by the LuxR-type transcriptional regulator NunF. *Microbiology open* 2017a:1–14.

Hennessy RC, Stougaard P, Olsson S. A Microplate reader-based system for visualizing transcriptional activity during in vivo microbial interactions in space and time. *Sci Rep* 2017b;7:281.

Hervé V, Le Roux X, Uroz S et al. Diversity and structure of bacterial communities associated with *Phanerochaete chrysosporium* during wood decay. *Environ Microbiol* 2014;16:2238–52.

Hoffman MT, Arnold AE. Diverse bacteria inhabit living hyphae of phylogenetically diverse fungal endophytes. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2010;76:4063–75.

Hoffman MT, Gunatilaka MK, Wijeratne K et al. Endohyphal bacterium enhances production of indole-3-acetic acid by a foliar fungal endophyte. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e73132.

Hoffmann C, Dollive S, Grunberg S et al. Archaea and Fungi of the human gut microbiome: correlations with diet and bacterial residents. *PLoS One* 2013;8, DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0066019.

Hover T, Maya T, Ron S et al. Mechanisms of bacterial (*Serratia marcescens*) attachment to, migration along, and killing of fungal hyphae. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2016;82:2585–94.

Hoyt JR, Cheng TL, Langwig KE et al. Bacteria isolated from bats inhibit the growth of *Pseudogymnoascus destructans*, the causative agent of white-nose syndrome. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0121329.

Huttenhower C, Gevers D, Knight R et al. Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human microbiome. *Nature* 2012;486:207–14.

Ipcho S, Sundelin T, Erbs G et al. Fungal innate immunity induced by bacterial microbe-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs). *G3* 2016;6:1585–95.

Janus MM, Crielaard W, Volgenant CMC et al. *Candida albicans* alters the bacterial microbiome of early in vitro oral biofilms. *J Oral Microbiol* 2017;9:1270613.

Janus MM, Willems HME, Krom BP. *Candida albicans* in multispecies oral communities; a keystone commensal? *Adv Exp Med Biol* 2016;931:13–20.

Joergensen RG, Wichern F. Alive and kicking: Why dormant soil microorganisms matter. *Soil Biol Biochem* 2018;116:419–30.

Jones SE, Ho L, Rees CA et al. *Streptomyces* exploration is triggered by fungal interactions and volatile signals. *Elife* 2017;6:4268–73.

Jung B, Park J, Kim N et al. Cooperative interactions between seed-borne bacterial and air-borne fungal pathogens on rice. *Nat Commun* 2018;9:31.

Kai K, Furuyabu K, Tani A et al. Production of the quorum-sensing molecules N-acylhomoserine lactones by endobacteria associated with *Mortierella alpina* A-178. *Chem Bio Chem* 2012;13:1776–84.

Kalan L, Loesche M, Hodgkinson BP et al. Redefining the chronic-wound microbiome: Fungal communities are prevalent, dynamic, and associated with delayed healing. *MBio* 2016;7:1–12.

Kaltenpoth M, Strupat K, Svatoš A. Linking metabolite production to taxonomic identity in environmental samples by (MA)LDI-FISH. *ISME J* 2016;10:527–31.

Kastman EK, Kamelamela N, Norville JW et al. Biotic interactions shape the ecological distributions of *Staphylococcus* species. *MBio* 2016;7:e01157-16.

Kohlmeier S, Smits THM, Ford RM et al. Taking the fungal highway: mobilization of pollutant-degrading bacteria by fungi. *Environ Sci Technol* 2005; 15:4640-46.

Kong K-F, Schnepfer L, Mathee K. Beta-lactam antibiotics: from antibiosis to resistance and bacteriology. *APMIS* 2010;118:1–36.

Koskella B, Hall L, Metcalf C. The microbiome beyond the horizon of ecological and evolutionary theory. *Nat Ecol Evol* 2017;1:1606–15.

Krom BP, Oskam J. Microbial biofilms and wound healing: an ecological hypothesis. *Phleb J Venous Dis* 2014;29:168–73.

Kumar S, Indugu N, Vecchiarelli B et al. Associative patterns among anaerobic fungi, methanogenic archaea, and bacterial communities in response to changes in diet and age in the rumen of dairy cows. *Front Microbiol* 2015;6:1–10.

Künzler M. Hitting the sweet spot-glycans as targets of fungal defense effector proteins. *Molecules* 2015;20:8144–67.

Kurth F, Feldhahn L, Bönn M et al. Large scale transcriptome analysis reveals interplay between development of forest trees and a beneficial mycorrhiza helper bacterium. *BMC Genomics* 2015;16:658.

Lackner G, Hertweck C. Impact of endofungal bacteria on infection biology, food safety, and drug development. *PLoS Pathog* 2011;7:e1002096.

Lackner G, Moebius N, Hertweck C. Endofungal bacterium controls its host by an hrp type III secretion system. *ISME J* 2011;5:252–61.

Lambooi JM, Hoogenkamp MA, Brandt BW et al. Fungal mitochondrial oxygen consumption induces the growth of strict anaerobic bacteria. *Fungal Genet Biol* 2017;109:1–6.

Lamichhane JR, Venturi V. Synergisms between microbial pathogens in plant disease complexes: a growing trend. *Front Plant Sci* 2015;6, DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00385.

Lastovetsky OA, Gaspar ML, Mondo SJ et al. Lipid metabolic changes in an early divergent fungus govern the establishment of a mutualistic symbiosis with endobacteria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2016;113:15102–7.

Leclair LW, Hogan DA. Mixed bacterial-fungal infections in the CF respiratory tract. *Med Mycol* 2010;48:S125–32.

Lee K, Kobayashi N, Watanabe M et al. Spread and change in stress resistance of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 on fungal colonies. *Microb Biotechnol* 2014;7:621–9.

- Li Z, Yao Q, Dearth SP et al. Integrated proteomics and metabolomics suggests symbiotic metabolism and multimodal regulation in a fungal-endobacterial system. *Environ Microbiol* 2017;19:1041–53.
- Lindsay AK, Hogan DA. *Candida albicans*: Molecular interactions with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Fungal Biol Rev* 2014;28:85–96.
- Liu Y, Rousseaux S, Tourdot-Maréchal R et al. Wine microbiome, a dynamic world of microbial interactions. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 2015:0.
- Lladó S, López-mondéjar R, Baldrian P. Forest soil bacteria: diversity, involvement in ecosystem processes, and response to global change. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 2017;81:1–27.
- Longo A V, Zamudio KR. Environmental fluctuations and host skin bacteria shift survival advantage between frogs and their fungal pathogen. *ISME J* 2017;11:349–61.
- Lopes SP, Azevedo NF, Pereira MO. Microbiome in cystic fibrosis: Shaping polymicrobial interactions for advances in antibiotic therapy. *Crit Rev Microbiol* 2014;7828:1–13.
- Ma B, Wang H, Dsouza M et al. Geographic patterns of co-occurrence network topological features for soil microbiota at continental scale in eastern China. *ISME J* 2016;10:1891–901.
- Martin G, Guggiari M, Bravo D et al. Fungi, bacteria and soil pH: the oxalate-carbonate pathway as a model for metabolic interaction. *Environ Microbiol* 2012;14:2960–70.
- Mela F, Fritsche K, de Boer W et al. Dual transcriptional profiling of a bacterial/fungal confrontation: *Collimonas fungivorans* versus *Aspergillus niger*. *ISME J* 2011;5:1494–504.
- Mela F, Fritsche K, de Boer W et al. Comparative genomics of bacteria from the genus *Collimonas*: linking (dis)similarities in gene content to phenotypic variation and conservation. *Environ Microbiol Rep* 2012;4:424–32.
- de Menezes AB, Prendergast-Miller MT, Richardson AE et al. Network analysis reveals that bacteria and fungi form modules that correlate independently with soil parameters. *Environ Microbiol* 2014;17:2677–89.
- de Menezes AB, Richardson AE, Thrall PH. Linking fungal–bacterial co-occurrences to soil ecosystem function. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2017;37:135–41.
- Michielse CB, Hooykaas PJJ, van den Hondel CA et al. *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation as a tool for functional genomics in fungi. *Curr Genet* 2005;48:1–17.
- Moebius N, Üzümlü Z, Dijksterhuis J et al. Active invasion of bacteria into living fungal cells. *Elife* 2014;3, DOI: 10.7554/eLife.03007.
- Moissl-Eichinger C, Pausen M, Taffner J et al. Archaea are interactive components of complex microbiomes. *Trends Microbiol* 2017, DOI: 10.1016/j.tim.2017.07.004.
- Mondo SJ, Riley R, Sun H et al. Bacterial endosymbionts control host sexuality and reveal reproductive genes of early divergent fungi. *Nat Commun* 2017, DOI: 10.1038/s41467-017-02052-8.

Mondo SJ, Toomer KH, Morton JB et al. Evolutionary stability in a 400-million-year-old heritable facultative mutualism. *Evolution* 2012;66:2564–76.

Morales DK, Grahl N, Okegbe C et al. Control of *Candida albicans* metabolism and biofilm formation by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* phenazines. *MBio* 2013;4:1–9.

Morales DK, Jacobs NJ, Rajamani S et al. Antifungal mechanisms by which a novel *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* phenazine toxin kills *Candida albicans* in biofilms. *Mol Microbiol* 2010;78:1379–92.

Moree WJ, Yang JY, Zhao X et al. Imaging mass spectrometry of a coral microbe interaction with fungi. *J Chem Ecol* 2013;39:1045–54.

Morris BEL, Henneberger R, Huber H et al. Microbial syntrophy: Interaction for the common good. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 2013;37:384–406.

Mosse B. Honey-coloured, sessile *Endogones* spores: II. Changes in fine structure during spore development. *Arch Mikrobiol* 1970;74:129–45.

Mousa WK, Shearer C, Limay-Rios V et al. Root-hair endophyte stacking in finger millet creates a physicochemical barrier to trap the fungal pathogen *Fusarium graminearum*. *Nat Microbiol* 2016;1:16167.

Mukherjee PK, Chandra J, Retuerto M et al. Oral mycobiome analysis of HIV-infected patients: identification of *Pichia* as an antagonist of opportunistic fungi. *PLoS Pathog* 2014;10, DOI: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1003996.

Murat C. Forty years of inoculating seedlings with truffle fungi: past and future perspectives. *Mycorrhiza* 2014;77–81.

Naito M, Desirò A, Gonzalez JB et al. —*Candidatus Moeniiplasma glomeromycotum*||, an endobacterium of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2017, DOI: 10.1099/ijsem.0.001785.

Naito M, Morton JB, Pawlowska TE. Minimal genomes of mycoplasma-related endobacteria are plastic and contain host-derived genes for sustained life within Glomeromycota. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2015;112:7791–6.
Naumann M, Schüssler A, Bonfante P. The obligate endobacteria of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi are ancient heritable components related to the Mollicutes. *ISME J* 2010;4:862–71.

Navarri M, Jégou C, Meslet-Cladière L et al. Deep Subseafloor Fungi as an untapped reservoir of amphipathic antimicrobial compounds. *Mar Drugs* 2016;14:50.

Nazir R, Boersma FGH, Warmink JA et al. *Lyophyllum* sp. strain Karsten alleviates pH pressure in acid soil and enhances the survival of *Variovorax paradoxus* HB44 and other bacteria in the mycosphere. *Soil Biol Biochem* 2010;42:2146–52.

Nazir R, Shen J-P, Wang J-T et al. Fungal networks serve as novel ecological routes for enrichment and dissemination of antibiotic resistance genes as exhibited by microcosm experiments. *Sci Rep* 2017;7:15457.

Nuccio EE, Hodge A, Pett-Ridge J et al. An arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus significantly modifies the soil bacterial community and nitrogen cycling during litter decomposition. *Environ Microbiol* 2013;15:1870–81.

Olsson S, Bonfante P, Pawlowska TE. Ecology and evolution of Fungal-Bacterial interactions. In: Dighton J, White JF (eds.). *The Fungal Community: Its Organization and Tole in the Ecosystem*. Fourth. CRC Press, 2017.

Otto S, Bruni EP, Harms H et al. Catch me if you can: dispersal and foraging of *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* 109J along mycelia. *ISME J* 2016 11:386-93.

Otto S, Harms H, Wick LY. Effects of predation and dispersal on bacterial abundance and contaminant biodegradation. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2017;93:fiw241.

Panke-Buisse K, Poole AC, Goodrich JK et al. Selection on soil microbiomes reveals reproducible impacts on plant function. *ISME J* 2015;9:980–9.

Paoletti M, Saupe SJ. Fungal incompatibility: evolutionary origin in pathogen defense? *Bioessays* 2009;31:1201–10.

Park HB, Kwon HC, Lee C et al. Glionitrin A , an antibiotic-antitumor metabolite derived from competitive interaction. *J Nat Prod* 2009;72:248–52.

Partida-Martínez LP. The fungal holobiont: Evidence from early diverging fungi. *Environ Microbiol* 2017;19:2919–23.

Partida-Martinez LP, Monajembashi S, Greulich K-O et al. Endosymbiont-dependent host reproduction maintains bacterial-fungal mutualism. *Curr Biol* 2007;17:773–7.

Peleg AY, Hogan DA, Mylonakis E. Medically important bacterial–fungal interactions. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2010;8:340–9.

Perazzolli M, Herrero N, Sterck L et al. Transcriptomic responses of a simplified soil microcosm to a plant pathogen and its biocontrol agent reveal a complex reaction to harsh habitat. *BMC Genomics* 2016;17:838.
Peters BM, Noverr MC. *Candida albicans* - *Staphylococcus aureus* polymicrobial peritonitis modulates host innate immunity. *Infect Immun* 2013;81:2178–89.

Pinto C, Pinho D, Sousa S et al. Unravelling the Diversity of Grapevine Microbiome. *PLoS One* 2014;9:e85622.

Pion M, Spangenberg JE, Simon A et al. Bacterial farming by the fungus *Morchella crassipes*. *Proc Biol Sci* 2013;280:20132242.

Poudel R, Jumpponen A, Schlatter DC et al. Microbiome networks: A systems framework for identifying candidate microbial assemblages for disease management. *Phytopathology* 2016;106:1083–96.

Poulsen M, Hu H, Li C et al. Complementary symbiont contributions to plant decomposition in a fungus-farming termite. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2014;111:14500–5.

Pukkila-Worley R, Ausubel FM, Mylonakis E. *Candida albicans* infection of *Caenorhabditis elegans* induces antifungal immune defenses. *PLoS Pathog* 2011;7, DOI: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002074.

- Purahong W, Wubet T, Lentendu G et al. Life in leaf litter: novel insights into community dynamics of bacteria and fungi during litter decomposition. *Mol Ecol* 2016;25:4059–74.
- Raaijmakers JM, De Bruijn I, Nybroe O et al. Natural functions of lipopeptides from *Bacillus* and *Pseudomonas* : more than surfactants and antibiotics. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 2010;34:1037–62.
- Raymann K, Moeller AH, Goodman AL et al. Unexplored archaeal diversity in the great ape gut microbiome. *mSphere* 2017;2:e00026-17.
- Reen FJ, Phelan JP, Woods DF et al. Harnessing bacterial signals for suppression of biofilm formation in the nosocomial fungal pathogen *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Front Microbiol* 2016;7:2074.
- Rinta-Kanto JM, Sinkko H, Rajala T et al. Natural decay process affects the abundance and community structure of bacteria and archaea in *Picea abies* logs. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2016;92:fiw087.
- Ritpitakphong U, Falquet L, Vimoltust A et al. The microbiome of the leaf surface of *Arabidopsis* protects against a fungal pathogen. *New Phytol* 2016;210:1033–43.
- Ritz K, Young IM. Interactions between soil structure and fungi. *Mycologist* 2004;18:52–9.
- Rohm B, Scherlach K, Möbius N et al. Toxin production by bacterial endosymbionts of a *Rhizopus microsporus* strain used for tempe/sufu processing. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2010;136:368–71.
- Ross C, Opel V, Scherlach K et al. Biosynthesis of antifungal and antibacterial polyketides by *Burkholderia gladioli* in coculture with *Rhizopus microsporus*. *Mycoses* 2014;57:48–55.
- Rudnick MB, van Veen JA, de Boer W. Oxalic acid: a signal molecule for fungus-feeding bacteria of the genus *Collimonas*? *Environ Microbiol Rep* 2015;7:709–14.
- Ruiz-Herrera J, León-Ramírez C, Vera-Núñez A et al. A novel intracellular nitrogen-fixing symbiosis made by *Ustilago maydis* and *Bacillus* spp. *New Phytol* 2015;207:769–77.
- Salvioli A, Ghignone S, Novero M et al. Symbiosis with an endobacterium increases the fitness of a mycorrhizal fungus, raising its bioenergetic potential. *ISME J* 2016;10:130–44.
- Salvioli Di Fossalunga A, Lipuma J, Venice F et al. The endobacterium of an arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus modulates the expression of its toxin-antitoxin systems during the life cycle of its host. *ISME J* 2017;11:2394–8.
- Santhanam R, Luu VT, Weinhold A et al. Native root-associated bacteria rescue a plant from a sudden-wilt disease that emerged during continuous cropping. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2015;112:E5013-20.
- Schamfuß S, Neu TR, Roelof Van Der Meer J et al. Impact of mycelia on the accessibility of fluorene to PAH-degrading bacteria. *Environ Sci Technol* 2013;47:6908–15.
- Scherlach K, Graupner K, Hertweck C. Molecular bacteria-fungi interactions: effects on environment, food, and medicine. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 2013;67:375–97.

Schlecht LM, Peters BM, Krom BP et al. Systemic *Staphylococcus aureus* infection mediated by *Candida albicans* hyphal invasion of mucosal tissue. *Microbiology* 2015;161:168–81.

Schmidt R, Etalo DW, de Jager V et al. Microbial small talk: volatiles in fungal-bacterial Interactions. *Front Microbiol* 2015;6:1495.

Schmidt R, de Jager V, Zühlke D et al. Fungal volatile compounds induce production of the secondary metabolite Sodorifen in *Serratia plymuthica* PRI-2C. *Sci Rep* 2017;7:862.

Schulz-Bohm K, Tyc O, de Boer W et al. Fungus-associated bacteriome in charge of their host behavior. *Fungal Genet Biol* 2016, DOI: 10.1016/j.fgb.2016.07.011.

Scopel M, Abraham W-R, Henriques AT et al. Dipeptide cis-cyclo(Leucyl-Tyrosyl) produced by sponge associated *Penicillium* sp. F37 inhibits biofilm formation of the pathogenic *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 2013;23:624–6.

Shaffer JP, Sarmiento C, Zalamea P-C et al. Diversity, Specificity, and phylogenetic relationships of endohyphal bacteria in fungi that inhabit tropical eeds and leaves. *Front Ecol Evol* 2016;4:116.

Shaffer JP, U'Ren JM, Gallery RE et al. An endohyphal bacterium (Chitinophaga, Bacteroidetes) alters carbon source use by *Fusarium keratoplasticum* (F. solani species complex, Nectriaceae). *Front Microbiol* 2017;8, DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.00350.

Simon A, Hervé V, Al-Dourobi A et al. An in situ inventory of fungi and their associated migrating bacteria in forest soils using fungal highway columns. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2017;93:fiw217.

Spraker JE, Jewell K, Roze L V. et al. A volatile relationship: profiling an inter-kingdom dialogue between two plant pathogens, *Ralstonia Solanacearum* and *Aspergillus flavus*. *J Chem Ecol* 2014;40:502–13.

Spraker JE, Sanchez LM, Lowe TM et al. *Ralstonia solanacearum* lipopeptide induces chlamydospore development in fungi and facilitates bacterial entry into fungal tissues. *ISME J* 2016;10:2317–30.

Stanley CE, Stöckli M, van Swaay D et al. Probing bacterial-fungal interactions at the single cell level. *Integr Biol* 2014;6:935–45.

Stopnisek N, Zühlke D, Carlier A et al. Molecular mechanisms underlying the close association between soil *Burkholderia* and fungi. *ISME J* 2016;10:253–64.

Sztajer H, Szafranski SP, Tomasch J et al. Cross-feeding and interkingdom communication in dual-species biofilms of *Streptococcus mutans* and *Candida albicans*. *ISME J* 2014;8:2256–71.

Tauber JP, Schroeckh V, Shelest E et al. Bacteria induce pigment formation in the basidiomycete *Serpula lacrymans*. *Environ Microbiol* 2016;18:5218–27.

Tecon R, Or D. Bacterial flagellar motility on hydrated rough surfaces controlled by aqueous film thickness and connectedness. *Sci Rep* 2016;6:1–11.

Tecon R, Or D. Biophysical processes supporting the diversity of microbial life in soil. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 2017;41:599–623.

Thion CE, Poirel JD, Cornulier T et al. Plant nitrogen-use strategy as a driver of rhizosphere archaeal and bacterial ammonia oxidiser abundance. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2016;92:1–11.

Thompson LR, Sanders JG, McDonald D et al. A communal catalogue reveals Earth's multiscale microbial diversity. *Nature* 2017;551:457–63.

Tolonen AC, Cerisy T, El-Sayyed H et al. Fungal lysis by a soil bacterium fermenting cellulose. *Environ Microbiol* 2015;17:2618–27.

Tomada S, Sonogo P, Moretto M et al. Dual RNA-Seq of *Lysobacter capsici* AZ78 – *Phytophthora infestans* interaction shows the implementation of attack strategies by the bacterium and unsuccessful oomycete defense responses. *Environ Microbiol* 2017;19:4113–25.

Toomer KH, Chen X, Naito M et al. Molecular evolution patterns reveal life history features of mycoplasma-related endobacteria associated with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Mol Ecol* 2015;24:3485–500.

Torres-Cortés G, Ghignone S, Bonfante P et al. Mosaic genome of endobacteria in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi: Transkingdom gene transfer in an ancient mycoplasma-fungus association. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2015;112:7785–90.

Trejo-Hernández A, Andrade-Domínguez A, Hernández M et al. Interspecies competition triggers virulence and mutability in *Candida albicans*-*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* mixed biofilms. *ISME J* 2014;8:1974–88.

Trosvik P, de Muinck EJ. Ecology of bacteria in the human gastrointestinal tract—identification of keystone and foundation taxa. *Microbiome* 2015;3:44.

Tyc O, van den Berg M, Gerards S et al. Impact of interspecific interactions on antimicrobial activity among soil bacteria. *Front Microbiol* 2014;5, DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00567.

Uehling J, Deveau A, Paoletti M. Innate immune response and NLR based non-self recognition in Fungi, Plants and Animals. *Pearls PLoS Pathog* 2017b, DOI:10.1371/journal.ppat.1006578

Uehling J, Gryganskyi A, Hameed K et al. Comparative genomics of *Mortierella elongata* and its bacterial endosymbiont *Mycoavidus cysteinexigens*. *Environ Microbiol* 2017a, DOI: 10.1111/1462-2920.13669.

Urich T, Lanzén A, Stokke R et al. Microbial community structure and functioning in marine sediments associated with diffuse hydrothermal venting assessed by integrated meta-omics. *Environ Microbiol* 2014;16:2699–710.

Uroz S, Buée M, Deveau A et al. Ecology of the forest microbiome: Highlights of temperate and boreal ecosystems. *Soil Biol Biochem* 2016;103:471–88.

Vahdatzadeh M, Deveau A, Splivallo R. The role of the microbiome of truffles in aroma formation: A meta-analysis approach. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2015;81:6946–52.

Vannini C, Carpentieri A, Salvioli A et al. An interdomain network: the endobacterium of a mycorrhizal fungus promotes antioxidative responses in both fungal and plant hosts. *New Phytol* 2016;211:265–75.

Vylkova S. Environmental pH modulation by pathogenic fungi as a strategy to conquer the host. *PLoS Pathog* 2017;13:1–6.

Vylkova S, Lorenz MC. Modulation of phagosomal pH by *Candida albicans* promotes hyphal morphogenesis and requires Stp2p, a regulator of amino acid transport. *PLoS Pathog* 2014;10, DOI: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1003995.

Walker TS, Bais HP, Déziel E et al. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*-plant root interactions. Pathogenicity, biofilm formation, and root exudation. *Plant Physiol* 2004;134:320–31.

Wang X, Li G-H, Zou C-G et al. Bacteria can mobilize nematode-trapping fungi to kill nematodes. *Nat Commun* 2014;5:5776.

Wang Y, Huang WE, Cui L et al. Single cell stable isotope probing in microbiology using Raman microspectroscopy. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 2016;41:34–42.

Warmink JA, van Elsas JD. Migratory response of soil bacteria to *Lyophyllum* sp. strain Karsten in soil microcosms. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2009;75:2820–30.

Warmink JA, Nazir R, van Elsas JD. Universal and species-specific bacterial —fungiphiles|| in the mycospheres of different basidiomycetous fungi. *Environ Microbiol* 2009;11:300–12.

Wei Z, Yang T, Friman VP et al. Trophic network architecture of root-associated bacterial communities determines pathogen invasion and plant health. *Nat Commun* 2015;6:1–9.

Willems HM, Kos K, Jabra-Rizk MA et al. *Candida albicans* in oral biofilms could prevent caries. *Pathog Dis* 2016;74:1–6.

Wohlschlager T, Butschi A, Grassi P et al. Methylated glycans as conserved targets of animal and fungal innate defense. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2014;111: 2787-96.

Wolfe BE, Dutton RJ. Fermented foods as experimentally tractable microbial ecosystems. *Cell* 2015;161:49–55.

Wongsuk T, Pumeesat P, Luplertlop N. Fungal quorum sensing molecules: Role in fungal morphogenesis and pathogenicity. *J Basic Microbiol* 2016;56:440–7.

Worrich A, König S, Miltner A et al. Mycelium-like networks increase bacterial dispersal, growth, and biodegradation in a model ecosystem at various water potentials. 2016;82:2902–8.

Worrich A, Stryhanyuk H, Musat N et al. Mycelium-mediated transfer of water and nutrients stimulates bacterial activity in dry and oligotrophic environments. *Nat Commun* 2017; DOI:10.1038/ncomms15472.

Young IM, Crawford JW. Interactions and self-organization in the soil-microbe complex. *Science* 2004;304.

Zagriadskaia YA, Lysak L V., Sidorova II et al. Bacterial complexes of the fruiting bodies and hyphosphere of certain basidiomycetes. *Biol Bull* 2013;40:358–64.

Zamioudis C, Pieterse CMJ. Modulation of host immunity by beneficial microbes. *Mol Plant-Microbe Interact* 2012;25:139–50.

Zhang M, de Pereira Silva MC, De Mares Maryam C et al. The mycosphere constitutes an arena for horizontal gene transfer with strong evolutionary implications for bacterial-fungal interactions. 2014, DOI: 10.1111/1574-6941.12350.

Žifčáková L, Větrovský T, Lombard V et al. Feed in summer, rest in winter: microbial carbon utilization in forest topsoil. *Microbiome* 2017;5:122.